ORIGINAL

DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs 335 Merchant Street, Room 326 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone: (808) 586-2800

PUBLIC UTILITIES

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Application of)
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION)) DOCKET NO. 2008-0273
Instituting a Proceeding to Investigate the Implementation of Feed-in Tariffs.)))

DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY'S RESPONSES TO INFORMATION REQUESTS PREPARED BY THE COMMISSION'S CONSULTANT, THE NATIONAL REGULATORY RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Pursuant to Commission's letter dated August 3, 2009, the Division of Consumer Advocacy submits its RESPONSES TO INFORMATION REQUESTS PREPARED BY THE COMMISSION'S CONSULTANT, THE NATIONAL REGULATORY RESEARCH INSTITUTE in the above docketed matter.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, August 14, 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

CATHERINE P. AWAKUNI

Executive Director

DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY

DOCKET NO. 2008-0273

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DIVISION OF CONSUMER ADVOCACY'S RESPONSES TO INFORMATION REQUESTS PREPARED BY THE COMMISSION'S CONSULTANT, THE NATIONAL REGULATORY RESEARCH INSTITUTE

PUC-IR-1

During the course of the hearing and in submittals, certain parties described the difficulty in monetizing state tax credits. The Commission asks for a detailed explanation, citing the specific tax statutes of the tax credits that developers cannot often monetize. If the tax credits are useful for some projects, such as residential solar PV installations, but not others, please specify which projects the specific available tax credits are or are not frequently available for and why.

RESPONSE:

It is the Consumer Advocate's understanding that it is the developers' assertions regarding the difficulties surrounding monetizing tax credits. Thus, the Consumer Advocate contends that it is the developers' burden to offer a detailed explanation as to which tax credits can and cannot be monetized in determining reasonable feed-in tariff ("FIT") rates consistent with the principles outlined in the HECO-Consumer Advocate Joint FIT Proposal. However, the Consumer Advocate notes that in determining reasonable FIT rates, the Commission should presume that eligible project developers are able to use all published federal and state tax incentives, taking into account the potential expiration dates of all relevant tax incentives.¹ The Consumer Advocate notes that

2008-0273 1

See KEMA Report at 28 (Section 3.5.3) (discussing the recommended process for determining FIT rates) (noting that "[t]he consultant [recommending a FIT rate] will assume that [eligible] project developers are able to use all published federal and state tax incentives, taking into account potential expiration dates [of relevant tax incentives].")

such an approach ensures that FIT pricing ultimately benefits electric utility customers by providing that the relevant electric utility purchases energy at FIT rates that are as cost-effective as commercially possible.² Excluding the tax credits might yield rates that are higher than necessary, which would be contrary to the customers' interests.

2008-0273 2

For purposes of this response, the Consumer Advocate views cost-effectiveness from the standpoint of the purchasing electric utility. In other words, the Consumer Advocate presumes that as a rational business actor, the purchasing electric utility would seek to purchase energy from a FIT provider at a rate that is as low as possible.

PUC-IR-2

The Commission is evaluating FiT rates that are non-levelized. Like levelized rates, such rates would provide projects recovery of their costs and a reasonable rate of return. Non-levelized rates would increase over time based on a predetermined discount or inflation rate. Pages 27 through 29 of Haiku Design and Development's opening brief describe and illustrate such an approach.

The Commission requests that the parties provide feedback on whether such rates, if they provide the same level of compensation (when adjusting for the time value of money) would be practicable for developers. In particular, could debt and equity financing structures accommodate rates that provide lower compensation early on and more compensation later, reducing early cash flow? Would doing so increase the overall required FiT compensation?

RESPONSE:

The Consumer Advocate is not in a position to offer an opinion as to whether levelized or non-levelized FIT rates provide adequate compensation for renewable energy project developers. However, like the HECO Companies, the Consumer Advocate notes that in the past, the HECO Companies have entered into energy purchase arrangements that provide for contract payments that are lower in the earlier years and higher in the later years. The Consumer Advocate points out that the non-levelized payment structure did not prevent the utilities' contracting partners from completing their energy production projects. Depending on the use of proper calculations and discount factor, levelized and non-levelized rates should result in the same overall compensation in terms of real dollars. Observations regarding the different impacts that levelized or non-levelized FIT rates would have on customer rates could also be made. Nevertheless, the Consumer Advocate notes that the assessment as to what is acceptable to an individual project developer varies according to a number of interrelated factors known only to the individual project developer.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

ADVOCACY'S RESPONSES TO INFORMATION REQUESTS PREPARED BY THE COMMISSION'S CONSULTANT, THE NATIONAL REGULATORY RESEARCH INSTITUTE was duly served upon the following parties, by personal service, hand delivery, and/or U.S. mail, postage prepaid, and properly addressed pursuant to HAR § 6-61-21(d).

1 copy

by hand delivery

DEAN MATSUURA MANAGER REGULATORY AFFAIRS HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC. P.O. Box 2750 Honolulu, HI 96840-0001

JAY IGNACIO
PRESIDENT
HAWAII ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY, INC.
P. O. Box 1027

1 copy
by U.S. Mail

EDWARD L. REINHARDT 1 copy
PRESIDENT by U.S. Mail
MAUI ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD.

P. O. Box 398 Kahului, HI 96732

Hilo, HI 96721-1027

THOMAS W. WILLIAMS, JR., ESQ.

PETER Y. KIKUTA, ESQ.

DAMON L. SCHMIDT, ESQ.

GOODSILL, ANDERSON QUINN & STIFEL

Alii Place, Suite 1800

1099 Alakea Street

Honolulu, Hawaji 96813

Co-Counsel for HECO, HELCO, and MECO

ROD S. AOKI, ESQ.
ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP
33 New Montgomery Street
Suite 1850
San Francisco, CA 94105-4511

1 copy by U.S. Mail

Co-Counsel for HECO, HELCO, and MECO

THEODORE PECK
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS, ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT, AND TOURISM
State Office Tower
235 South Beretania Street, Room 501
Honolulu, HI 96813

1 copy by U.S. mail

ESTRELLA SEESE
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS, ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT, AND TOURISM
State Office Tower
235 South Beretania Street, Room 501
Honolulu, HI 96813

1 copy by U.S. mail

MARK J. BENNETT, ESQ.
DEBORAH DAY EMERSON, ESQ.
GREGG J. KINKLEY, ESQ.
DEPARTMENT OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
425 Queen Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

1 copy by hand delivery

Counsel for DBEDT

CARRIE K.S. OKINAGA, ESQ.
GORDON D. NELSON, ESQ.
DEPARTMENT OF THE CORPORATION COUNSEL
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU
530 South King Street, Room 110
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

1 copy by U.S. Mail LINCOLN S.T. ASHIDA, ESQ.
WILLIAM V. BRILHANTE JR., ESQ.
MICHAEL J. UDOVIC, ESQ.
DEPARTMENT OF THE CORPORATION COUNSEL
COUNTY OF HAWAII
101 Aupuni Street, Suite 325
Hilo, Hawaii 96720

1 copy by U.S. Mail

MR. HENRY Q CURTIS MS. KAT BRADY LIFE OF THE LAND 76 North King Street, Suite 203 Honolulu, Hawaii 96817

1 copy by U.S. Mail

MR. CARL FREEDMAN HAIKU DESIGN & ANALYSIS 4234 Hana Highway Haiku, Hawaii 96708

1 copy by U.S. Mail

MR. WARREN S. BOLLMEIER II PRESIDENT HAWAII RENEWABLE ENERGY ALLIANCE 46-040 Konane Place, #3816 Kaneohe, Hawaii 96744

1 copy by U.S. Mail

DOUGLAS A. CODIGA, ESQ. SCHLACK ITO LOCKWOOD PIPER & ELKIND TOPA FINANCIAL CENTER 745 Fort Street, Suite 1500 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

1 copy by hand delivery

Counsel for BLUE PLANET FOUNDATION

MR. MARK DUDA PRESIDENT HAWAII SOLAR ENERGY ASSOCIATION P.O. Box 37070 Honolulu, Hawaii 96837

1 copy by U.S. Mail MR. RILEY SAITO THE SOLAR ALLIANCE 73-1294 Awakea Street Kailua-Kona, Hawaii 96740 1 copy by U.S. Mail

JOEL K. MATSUNAGA HAWAII BIOENERGY, LLC 737 Bishop Street, Suite 1860 Pacific Guardian Center, Mauka Tower Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 1 copy by hand delivery

CAROLINE BELSOM
VICE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL
KAPALUA LAND COMPANY, LTD,
A wholly owned subsidiary of
MAUI LAND & PINEAPPLE COMPANY, INC.
200 Village Road
Lahaina, HI 96761

1 copy by U.S. Mail

KENT D. MORIHARA, ESQ. KRIS N. NAKAGAWA, ESQ. SANDRA L. WILHIDE, ESQ. MORIHARA LAU & FONG LLP 841 Bishop Street, Suite 400 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 1 copy by hand delivery

Counsel for HAWAII BIOENERGY, LLC Counsel for MAUI LAND & PINEAPPLE COMPANY, INC.

MR. THEODORE E. ROBERTS SEMPRA GENERATION 101 Ash Street, HQ 12 San Diego, California 92101 1 copy by U.S. Mail

MR. ERIK KVAM CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER ZERO EMISSIONS LEASING LLC 2800 Woodlawn Drive, Suite 131 Honolulu, Hawaii 96822 1 copy by U.S. Mail JOHN N. REI SOPOGY INC. 2660 Waiwai Loop Honolulu, Hawaii 96819 1 copy by U.S. Mail

PAMELA ANN JOE, ESQ.

VP OF PUBLIC POLICY AND GENERAL COUNSEL

SOPOGY, INC. 2660 Waiwai Loop Honolulu, Hawaii 96819 1 copy by U.S. Mail

GERALD A. SUMIDA, ESQ.

TIM LUI-KWAN, ESQ.

NATHAN C. NELSON, ESQ. CARLSMITH BALL LLP ASB Tower, Suite 2200 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

1 copy

by hand delivery

Counsel for HAWAII HOLDINGS, LLC, dba FIRST WIND HAWAII

MR. CHRIS MENTZEL
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
CLEAN ENERGY MAUI LLC
619 Kupulau Drive
Kihei, Hawaii 96753

1 copy by U.S. Mail

MR. HARLAN Y. KIMURA, ESQ. CENTRAL PACIFIC PLAZA 220 South King Street, Suite 1660 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

1 copy by hand delivery

Counsel for TAWHIRI POWER LLC

SANDRA-ANN Y.H. WONG, ESQ. ATTORNEY AT LAW, A LAW CORPORATION 1050 Bishop Street, #514 Honolulu, HI 96813 1 copy by U.S. Mail

Counsel for ALEXANDER & BALDWIN, INC., Through its division, HAWAIIAN COMMERCIAL & SUGAR COMPANY

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, August 14, 2009.